

12-0598 MANDATORY BRIEFING OUTLINE

- I. INTRODUCTION
- II. REQUIREMENTS FOR A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY
- III. OVERALL NEED FOR THE PROPOSED FACILITIES
- IV. LEAST-COST AND THE PROPOSED TRANSMISSION LINE ROUTES
 - A. Mississippi River – Quincy
 - 1. Length of the Line
 - 2. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - 3. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - 4. Environmental Impacts
 - 5. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - 6. Social and Land use Impacts
 - 7. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - 8. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 - 9. Community Acceptance
 - 10. Visual Impact
 - 11. Presence of Existing Corridors
 - B. Quincy – Meredosia
 - 1. Length of the Line
 - 2. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - 3. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - 4. Environmental Impacts
 - 5. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - 6. Social and Land use Impacts
 - 7. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - 8. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 - 9. Community Acceptance
 - 10. Visual Impact
 - 11. Presence of Existing Corridors
 - C. Meredosia – Ipava
 - 1. Length of the Line
 - 2. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - 3. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - 4. Environmental Impacts
 - 5. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - 6. Social and Land use Impacts
 - 7. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - 8. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 - 9. Community Acceptance
 - 10. Visual Impact
 - 11. Presence of Existing Corridors

- D. Meredosia – Pawnee
 - 1. Length of the Line
 - 2. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - 3. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - 4. Environmental Impacts
 - 5. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - 6. Social and Land use Impacts
 - 7. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - 8. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 - 9. Community Acceptance
 - 10. Visual Impact
 - 11. Presence of Existing Corridors
- E. Pawnee – Pana
 - 1. Length of the Line
 - 2. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - 3. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - 4. Environmental Impacts
 - 5. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - 6. Social and Land use Impacts
 - 7. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - 8. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 - 9. Community Acceptance
 - 10. Visual Impact
 - 11. Presence of Existing Corridors
- F. Pana – Kansas
 - 1. Need for Mt. Zion Substation
 - 2. Location of Mt. Zion Substation
 - 3. Route Location
 - a. Pana - Kansas (if Mt. Zion substation deemed unnecessary)
 - i. Length of the Line
 - ii. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - iii. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - iv. Environmental Impacts
 - v. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - vi. Social and Land use Impacts
 - vii. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - viii. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 - ix. Community Acceptance
 - x. Visual Impact
 - xi. Presence of Existing Corridors
 - b. Pana - Mt. Zion
 - i. Length of the Line
 - ii. Difficulty and Cost of Construction

- iii. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
- iv. Environmental Impacts
- v. Impacts on Historical Resources
- vi. Social and Land use Impacts
- vii. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
- viii. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
- ix. Community Acceptance
- x. Visual Impact
- xi. Presence of Existing Corridors
- c. Mt. Zion - Kansas
 - i. Length of the Line
 - ii. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - iii. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - iv. Environmental Impacts
 - v. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - vi. Social and Land use Impacts
 - vii. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - viii. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 - ix. Community Acceptance
 - x. Visual Impact
 - xi. Presence of Existing Corridors
- G. Kansas – Indiana State Line
 - 1. Length of the Line
 - 2. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - 3. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - 4. Environmental Impacts
 - 5. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - 6. Social and Land use Impacts
 - 7. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - 8. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 - 9. Community Acceptance
 - 10. Visual Impact
 - 11. Presence of Existing Corridors
- H. Sidney - Rising
 - 1. Length of the Line
 - 2. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - 3. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - 4. Environmental Impacts
 - 5. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - 6. Social and Land use Impacts
 - 7. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - 8. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development

- 9. Community Acceptance
 - 10. Visual Impact
 - 11. Presence of Existing Corridors
- V. MANAGING AND SUPERVISING THE CONSTRUCTION PROCESS
- VI. FINANCING THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
- VII. OTHER